## Infrastructure - Task #2998

### Make sure all daily builds have Time at About dialog

2019-10-11 08:18 - Timur Gadzo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status:</th>
<th>In Progress</th>
<th>Start date:</th>
<th>Due date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priority:</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignee:</td>
<td>Xisco Fauli Tarazona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target version:</td>
<td>Q4/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tags:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Description

For us who use multiple daily builds, easiest way to see when build originated is Time at About dialog. I see it not there at @39 Windows (that's now back after some time).

### History

#### #1 - 2019-10-11 13:03 - Florian Effenberger

- Assignee set to Xisco Fauli Tarazona
- Target version set to Q4/2019

#### #2 - 2019-10-24 15:14 - Timur Gadzo

It would be nice to have time also in About of bibisect repos. When I run master, how do I know when it was built?

#### #3 - 2019-11-07 12:54 - Timur Gadzo

Now when we have just 2 Win daily builds (which is enough), Win-x86_64@tb77-TDF/ builds should be named clear and similar to @39: with time in name (@39: master-2019-11-02_00.08.13_LibreOfficeDev_6.4.0.0.alpha1_Win_x86.msi). It's important when one is using "Separate Install GUI" to see if build is updated, should it be downloaded. That's not the same as time in About dialog, this is in addition. Now @77 is just "LibreOfficeDev_6.4.0.0.alpha0_Win_x64.msi". Another issue with @77 is that Current doesn't point to the latest. Today 07.11. Current is from 16.10. and latest is 07.11. So just by looking at Current one might think build is not accurate.

#### #4 - 2019-11-12 11:42 - Florian Effenberger

Xisco, what are your thoughts on this? Something for ESC or team call?

#### #5 - 2020-02-25 14:26 - Florian Effenberger

- Target version changed from Q4/2019 to Q1/2020

Xisco, any thoughts? Can/will/should we do this?

#### #6 - 2020-06-09 10:20 - Florian Effenberger

- Target version changed from Q1/2020 to Q2/2020

Gentle ping - can you give a quick feedback, Xisco?

#### #7 - 2020-08-05 11:40 - Xisco Fauli Tarazona

- File Screenshot from 2020-08-05 11-33-54.png added

Time and date is displayed in dbg builds from [https://dev-builds.libreoffice.org/daily/master/Linux-rpm_deb-x86_64@86-TDF-dbg/current/](https://dev-builds.libreoffice.org/daily/master/Linux-rpm_deb-x86_64@86-TDF-dbg/current/) (see screenshot)

However, the build id is not there. To me, and I think for QA/DEV people in general, it is more important to have the build id than the date and time. Some days, there are more than 50 commits submitted to master

#### #8 - 2020-11-24 14:53 - Florian Effenberger

- Status changed from New to In Progress
- Target version changed from Q2/2020 to Q4/2020

---

2021-02-25
Xisco Fauli Tarazona wrote:

Time and date is displayed in dbg builds from [https://dev-builds.libreoffice.org/daily/master/Linux-rpm_deb-x86_64@86-TDF-dbg/current/](https://dev-builds.libreoffice.org/daily/master/Linux-rpm_deb-x86_64@86-TDF-dbg/current/) (see screenshot)

However, the build id is not there. To me, and I think for QA/DEV people in general, it is more important to have the build id than the date and time. Some days, there are more than 50 commits submitted to master.

If that is a demand by QA, can you raise that during the next ESC meeting and report back in this ticket?
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