**Infrastructure - Task #2998**

**Make sure all daily builds have Time at About dialog**

2019-10-11 08:18 - Timur Gadzo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status:</th>
<th>In Progress</th>
<th>Start date:</th>
<th>Due date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priority:</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignee:</td>
<td>Xisco Fauli Tarazona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target version:</td>
<td>Q4/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tags:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

For us who use multiple daily builds, easiest way to see when build originated is Time at About dialog. I see it not there at @39 Windows (that's now back after some time).

**History**

#1 - 2019-10-11 13:03 - Florian Effenberger
- Assignee set to Xisco Fauli Tarazona
- Target version set to Q4/2019

#2 - 2019-10-24 15:14 - Timur Gadzo
It would be nice to have time also in About of bibisect repos. When I run master, how do I know when it was built?

#3 - 2019-11-07 12:54 - Timur Gadzo
Now when we have just 2 Win daily builds (which is enough), Win-x86_64@tb77-TDF/ builds should be named clear and similar to @39: with time in name (@39: master-2019-11-02_00.08.13_LibreOfficeDev_6.4.0.0.alpha1_Win_x86.msi).
It's important when one is using "Separate Install GUI" to see if build is updated, should it be downloaded. That's not the same as time in About dialog, this is in addition.
Now @77 is just "LibreOfficeDev_6.4.0.0.alpha0_Win_x64.msi".

Another issue with @77 is that Current doesn't point to the latest. Today 07.11. Current is from 16.10. and latest is 07.11. So just by looking at Current one might think build is not accurate.

#4 - 2019-11-12 11:42 - Florian Effenberger
Xisco, what are your thoughts on this? Something for ESC or team call?

#5 - 2020-02-25 14:26 - Florian Effenberger
- Target version changed from Q4/2019 to Q1/2020

Xisco, any thoughts? Can/will/should we do this?

#6 - 2020-06-09 10:20 - Florian Effenberger
- Target version changed from Q1/2020 to Q2/2020

Gentle ping - can you give a quick feedback, Xisco?

#7 - 2020-08-05 11:40 - Xisco Fauli Tarazona
- File Screenshot from 2020-08-05 11-33-54.png added

Time and date is displayed in dbg builds from https://dev-builds.libreoffice.org/daily/master/Linux-rpm_deb-x86_64@86-TDF-dbq/current/ (see screenshot)
However, the build id is not there. To me, and I think for QA/DEV people in general, it is more important to have the build id than the date and time. Some days, there are more than 50 commits submitted to master

#8 - 2020-11-24 14:53 - Florian Effenberger
- Status changed from New to In Progress
- Target version changed from Q2/2020 to Q4/2020

2022-03-20
Xisco Fauli Tarazona wrote:

Time and date is displayed in dbg builds from https://dev-builds.libreoffice.org/daily/master/Linux-rpm_deb-x86_64@86-TDF-dbg/current/ (see screenshot)

However, the build id is not there. To me, and I think for QA/DEV people in general, it is more important to have the build id than the date and time. Some days, there are more than 50 commits submitted to master

If that is a demand by QA, can you raise that during the next ESC meeting and report back in this ticket?

#9 - 2021-03-18 09:46 - Xisco Fauli Tarazona
At least the dbg builds have the date:

Version: 7.2.0.0.alpha0+ / LibreOffice Community
Build ID: e9e41795e6b2bf680a5f7e45684b76af00b6f77b
CPU threads: 4; OS: Linux 5.7; UI render: default; VCL: gtk3
Locale: en-US (en_US.UTF-8); UI: en-US
TinderBox: Linux-rpm_deb-x86_64@86-TDF-dbg, Branch: master, Time: 2021-03-02_03:34:22
Calc: threaded

#10 - 2021-03-18 09:52 - Xisco Fauli Tarazona
so the solution would be to use '--with-extra-buildid' with autogen

#11 - 2021-03-18 09:56 - Xisco Fauli Tarazona
Florian Effenberger wrote:

Xisco Fauli Tarazona wrote:

Time and date is displayed in dbg builds from https://dev-builds.libreoffice.org/daily/master/Linux-rpm_deb-x86_64@86-TDF-dbg/current/ (see screenshot)

However, the build id is not there. To me, and I think for QA/DEV people in general, it is more important to have the build id than the date and time. Some days, there are more than 50 commits submitted to master

If that is a demand by QA, can you raise that during the next ESC meeting and report back in this ticket?

Build ID is present in recent daily builds, same as date, see my comment 9. No need to discuss anything in the ESC meeting

#12 - 2021-03-18 09:59 - Beluga Beluga
Win tb77 builds don’t have it and based on what Steve talked about, macOS builds don’t either.

#13 - 2021-05-04 14:24 - Florian Effenberger
This is a rather old ticket, with a due date in Q4/2020
What's the status of this - still relevant? Who handles it, and by when?

#14 - 2021-08-11 12:04 - Timur Gadzo
Waiting this one and Task #3063, which was closed as a duplicate but it's not, I add a note:
seems to me that time at https://dev-builds.libreoffice.org/daily/master/current.html is time of build finish, but it should be time of build start?

For example: you see a commit from 05:00 UTC and build from 05:30, one would think that commit is in. But after download it turns out that last commit is just from 00:00, 5 hours earlier.

#15 - 2021-09-14 12:20 - Xisco Fauli Tarazona
Timur Gadzo wrote in #note-14:

Waiting this one and Task #3063, which was closed as a duplicate but it's not, I add a note:
seems to me that time at https://dev-builds.libreoffice.org/daily/master/current.html is time of build finish, but it should be time of build start?

For example: you see a commit from 05:00 UTC and build from 05:30, one would think that commit is in. But after download it turns out that last commit is just from 00:00, 5 hours earlier.

Next to the time, you have a link to the commit, clicking on it you can see the time of the last commit included in the daily build
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